arXiv:gr-qc/9602062v1 29 Feb 1996

February 29, 1996

QUANTIZATION OF A 2D MINISUPERSPACE MODEL IN DILATON-EINSTEIN GRAVITY

MARCO CAVAGLIÀ[⋆]
SISSA - International School for Advanced Studies,
Via Beirut 2-4, I-34013 Trieste, Italy,
and
INFN, Sezione di Torino, Italy.

VITTORIO DE ALFARO[†]
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università di Torino,
Via Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy,
and
INFN, Sezione di Torino, Italy.

ABSTRACT

We investigate a minisuperspace model of Einstein gravity plus dilaton that describes a static spherically symmetric configuration or a Kantowski – Sachs like universe. We develop the canonical formalism and identify canonical quantities that generate rigid symmetries of the Hamiltonian. Quantization is performed by the Dirac and the reduced methods. Both approaches lead to the same positive definite Hilbert space.

PACS: 04.40.Nr, 04.60.Ds, 04.50.+h.

[⋆] E-Mail: CAVAGLIA@SISSA.IT
[†] E-Mail: VDA@TO.INFN.IT

Scalar fields are a very important ingredient in the quantum treatment of gravitation. Indeed, the low energy limit of the string models provides dilaton and moduli fields, that seem to play an essential role in quantum cosmology and black hole physics. So the consideration of systems formed by the gravitational and scalar fields is not academic but is part of our physical picture. Recently a great deal of attention has been dedicated to the coupling of scalar to gravity, even in presence of gauge fields [1].

The first part of this note investigates the canonical formulation of a minisuperspace reduced model for gravity interacting with a massless scalar field. This model describes two independent geodesically complete spacetimes: one is a Kompaneets - Chernov - Kantowski - Sachs [2] (KS for brevity) like universe, the other one is a static asymptotically flat spacetime: a complete universe with a naked singularity, corresponding in the limit of vanishing dilaton to the external of a Schwarzschild black hole. While the classical solutions are well known since long time [3], the quantum theory has not been fully discussed. Then it is worthwile to investigate the quantization for this model. This is the subject of the second part of the paper. We will use an approach that we have proposed and applied to the case of the spherically symmetric metric devoid of matter (Schwarzschild) or containing an electric field (Reissner – Nordström) [4].

Let us spend some words about the method. We introduce the canonical formalism, integrate the gauge equations off the constraint shell, and evidence the role of a set of gauge invariant canonical quantities. They generate a group of rigid symmetries of the gauge equations and are fundamental for the quantization of the theory that is performed by the usual rules according to the Dirac method. The range of integration of the variables in the non gauge fixed inner product is defined by their classical range and the measure is determined by the requirement that it be invariant under the rigid transformations. Then the gauge fixing à la Faddeev – Popov is implemented. This allows to define a positive definite inner product in the gauge fixed Hilbert space and completes the quantization of the system [5]. A different approach to quantization consists in introducing a classical canonical identity (a second class constraint) that allows to reduce the phase space to the gauge fixed, physical subspace, and then quantize (unitary gauge). The canonical identity expresses the coordinate parameter as a function of the canonical variables – coordinates and momenta – and determines the form of the Lagrange multiplier [6]. As in [4], the two methods lead to the same final result and one obtains a gauge fixed Hilbert space with positive definite norm.

We start from the action for the four–dimensional low energy string effective theory written in the Einstein frame (see for instance [7])

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R + 2\Lambda - 2\sigma\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi\right] , \tag{1}$$

where R is defined as in [8] and $\sigma = \pm 1$. We consider the negative sign of sigma in order to take into account contributions from moduli fields derived from the compactified manifold.

The reduced Ansatz for the line element is

$$ds^2 = -4a(\xi)d\eta^2 + 4n(\xi)d\xi^2 + b^2(\xi)d\Omega^2\,, \tag{2}$$

and correspondingly the ansatz for the scalar field is $\varphi = \varphi(\xi)$. A priori we do not restrict the sign of the coefficients a, b, n that constitute the metric and are our Lagrangian variables. To start with we do not fix the gauge of the coordinates, namely we do not set any connection between ξ and the Lagrangian variables a, b, n. This will be done later, when quantizing, and we will see that a suitable gauge fixing in each one of the two approaches to quantization (Dirac versus reduced space) allows to define the same positive definite Hilbert space. Since the volume element of the metric (2) is $\sqrt{-g} = 4b^2\sqrt{an}$, the signature is always lorentzian.

Using the Ansatz for the metric and scalar field, the action (1) becomes (actually, this is the action density in η, integrated over $d\Omega$)

$$S = \int d\xi\ 2l\left[\frac{\dot{a}b\dot{b}}{l^2} + \frac{a\dot{b}^2}{l^2} - \sigma\frac{ab^2\dot{\varphi}^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{4}\left(1+\Lambda b^2\right)\right]\,, \tag{3}$$

where $l = 4\sqrt{an}$ is a non–dynamical variable (Lagrangian multiplier) and dots represent differentiation with respect to ξ. By a Legendre transformation we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv l\mathcal{H}_l = \frac{l}{2b^2}\left[p_a\left(bp_b - ap_a\right) - \sigma p_\varphi^2/4a - b^2\left(1+\Lambda b^2\right)\right]\,. \tag{4}$$

The constraint deriving from the presence of the Lagrange multiplier is thus $\mathcal{H}_l = 0$ that is a direct consequence of the invariance of the theory under reparametrizations of the parameter ξ.

Let us now develop the canonical formalism and obtain the general solutions. We put for the moment $\Lambda = 0$. We will see later that the absence of horizon and the completeness of the spaces hold also when Λ is different from zero. We define gauge invariant quantities along the lines of our treatment of the Schwarzschild black hole [4]. These quantities form an interesting algebra that will be at the basis of the next discussion of the quantization.

The gauge transformations generated by $\mathcal{H}$ (denoted as $\mathcal{H}_h$) can be integrated explicitly. From the gauge equations it follows that p_φ and $N = bp_b - 2ap_a$ are gauge invariant quantities. Then we have to discuss separately different cases, depending on the value of p_φ and N. Let us define the quantity $H = \mathcal{H}_l + 1/2$ which reduces to $1/2$ on the gauge shell. In the following we define $\tau = \int l(\xi)d\xi$ and consider without loss of generality $\tau > 0$ and $H > 0$. We now give the general solution of the gauge equations according to the different cases.

Case 1: $\gamma^{-2} = 1 + \sigma(p_\varphi^2/N^2)$ (this is certainly true for $\sigma = 1$) and $a \geq 0$ (this corresponds to a static and isotropic space – time):

$$p_a = \frac{N}{a}\left[\frac{H}{N}\tau - \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\right]\,, \qquad p_b = \frac{N}{b}\left[\frac{2H}{N}\tau - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right]\,,$$

$$b = \frac{\tau}{2I}\left[1-\frac{N}{\gamma H\tau}\right]^{(1-\gamma)/2}, \qquad a = 2HI^2\left[1-\frac{N}{\gamma H\tau}\right]^{\gamma}, \tag{5}$$

$$\varphi = \Phi - \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{2N}\ln\left[1-\frac{N}{\gamma H\tau}\right],$$

where we consider for simplicity $\gamma > 0$ since the solution is invariant for $\gamma \to -\gamma$ apart from a redefinition of τ ($\tau \to \tau - N/\gamma H$). I and Φ are two gauge invariant quantities defined as

$$I = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2H}}\left[\frac{\gamma b p_b + N}{\gamma b p_b - N}\right]^{\gamma/2}, \qquad \Phi = \varphi + \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{2N}\ln\left[\frac{\gamma b p_b - N}{\gamma b p_b + N}\right]. \tag{6}$$

This solution corresponds to the well known solutions given in the literature both for positive and negative σ [1,3]. To see it, let us fix the coordinate by going on the gauge shell, i.e. $H = 1/2$, and choosing $l = 1$. Then $\tau = \xi$ and the coordinates ξ, η can be identified respectively with a radial and a timelike variable. The solution becomes ($\xi \to r$, $\eta \to t$)

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 \ &= \ -\left[1-\frac{2N}{\gamma r}\right]^{\gamma} dt^2 + \left[1-\frac{2N}{\gamma r}\right]^{-\gamma} dr^2 + r^2\left[1-\frac{2N}{\gamma r}\right]^{1-\gamma} d\Omega^2, \\ \varphi &= \Phi - \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{2N}\ln\left[1-\frac{2N}{\gamma r}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where we have chosen $I = 1/2$ so that t is the proper time for $r \to \infty$. Since $\gamma > 0$, by inspection of (7) we can easily see that for $r \to \infty$ the line element (7) is asymptotically flat. The spacetime is defined for $r > r_s$, where $r_s = 2N/\gamma$ for $N > 0$ and $r_s = 0$ for $N < 0$. In both cases, if $\gamma \neq 1$ $r = r_s$ is a naked curvature singularity (see for instance [9]). When $\gamma = 1$, i.e. $\varphi =$const., for $N > 0$ (7) corresponds to the usual Schwarzschild spacetime with mass N. In this case $r = r_s$ is a coordinate singularity, so the spacetime can be continued for $r < r_s = 2N$ down to $r = 0$, where there is a curvature singularity. This picture changes completely when $\gamma \neq 1$ because in this case at $r = r_s$ the area of the two-sphere is vanishing or singular. Hence, the spacetime described by (7) when $\gamma \neq 1$ cannot be continued below r_s.

Case 2: $\gamma^{-2} = 1 + \sigma(p_\varphi^2/N^2)$ and $a \leq 0$ (this corresponds to a complete KS like universe):

$$p_a = \frac{N}{a}\left[\frac{H}{N}\tau - \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\right], \qquad p_b = \frac{N}{b}\left[\frac{2H}{N}\tau - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right],$$

$$b = \frac{\tau}{2I}\left[\frac{N}{\gamma H\tau} - 1\right]^{(1-\gamma)/2}, \qquad a = -2HI^2\left[\frac{N}{\gamma H\tau} - 1\right]^{\gamma}, \tag{8}$$

$$\varphi = \Phi - \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{2N}\ln\left[\frac{N}{\gamma H\tau}-1\right],$$

where now

$$I = \sqrt{\frac{-a}{2H}}\left[\frac{N+\gamma b p_b}{N-\gamma b p_b}\right]^{\gamma/2}, \qquad \Phi = \varphi + \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{2N}\ln\left[\frac{N-\gamma b p_b}{N+\gamma b p_b}\right]. \tag{9}$$

Since $a < 0$ this is a complete KS like space – time, ξ is a timelike coordinate and so the metric is time dependent. With the same choice of the Lagrange multiplier and of I and H as in the previous case, and setting $\xi \to t$ and $\eta \to \chi$ ($0 \leq \chi < 2\pi$) in (8), the solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 \;&=\; -\left[\frac{2N}{\gamma t}-1\right]^{-\gamma} dt^2 \;+\; \left[\frac{2N}{\gamma t}-1\right]^{\gamma} d\chi^2 \;+\; t^2\left[\frac{2N}{\gamma t}-1\right]^{1-\gamma} d\Omega^2\,, \\ \varphi &= \Phi - \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{2N}\ln\left[\frac{2N}{\gamma t}-1\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Consider for simplicity $t > 0$ and $N > 0$. As in the case 1, when $\gamma = 1$ the line element coincides with the Schwarzschild metric. When $\gamma \neq 1$, we have instead a curvature singularity at $t = t_s \equiv 2N/\gamma$. Hence, for $\gamma \neq 1$ (10) represents a (complete) anisotropic KS universe that begins in a curvature singularity at $t = 0$ and ends at $t = t_s$ in a curvature singularity after a finite lapse of time. Conversely, when the scalar field is absent, the metric (10) reduces to standard Schwarzschild solution and coincides (with a suitable redefinition of coordinates) with the solution (7) for $\gamma = 1$. In particular, the solution (10) reduces, for vanishing scalar field, to the internal Schwarzschild region, and solution (7) to the external Schwarzschild region. Since the singularity in $t = t_s$ ($r = r_s$) is now a coordinate singularity, both metrics can be continued across the horizon and so they coincide.

Case 3: $\gamma^{-2} = -[1 + \sigma(p_\varphi^2/N^2)]$ (this case implies $\sigma < 0$ and does not allow having a pure Schwarzschild black hole solution when the dilaton is absent) and $a \geq 0$:

$$p_a = \frac{N}{a}\left(\frac{H}{N}\tau - \frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad p_b = \frac{2H\tau}{b},$$

$$b = \frac{|N|}{4HI\gamma}\left[1 + \frac{4H^2\gamma^2}{N^2}\tau^2\right]^{1/2}\exp\left[-\gamma\ \mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{2H\gamma}{N}\tau\right)\right], \tag{11}$$

$$a = 2HI^2\exp\left[2\gamma\ \mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{2H\gamma}{N}\tau\right)\right], \qquad \varphi = \Phi - \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{N}\mathrm{arctg}\left[\frac{2H\gamma}{N}\tau\right],$$

where

$$I = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2H}}\exp\left[-\gamma\ \mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{b p_b\gamma}{N}\right)\right], \qquad \Phi = \varphi + \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{N}\ \mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{b p_b\gamma}{N}\right). \tag{12}$$

As in the previous cases, let us choose the Lagrange multiplier $l = 1$. On the gauge shell the solution becomes ($\xi \rightarrow r$, $\eta \rightarrow t$)

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -\exp\left\{2\gamma\left[\mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{2H\gamma}{N}r\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]\right\}dt^2+ \\ & +\exp\left\{-2\gamma\left[\mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{2H\gamma}{N}r\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]\right\}dr^2+ \\ & +\frac{N^2}{\gamma^2}\left[1+\frac{\gamma^2}{N^2}r^2\right]\exp\left\{-2\gamma\left[\mathrm{arctg}\left(\frac{2H\gamma}{N}r\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]\right\}d\Omega^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\varphi = \Phi - \sigma\frac{p_\varphi\gamma}{N}\mathrm{arctg}\left[\frac{\gamma}{N}r\right]\,,$$

where we have chosen $I = e^{-\gamma\pi/2}/2$. As for the case 1 the spacetime described by (13) is static and asymptotically flat in the radial coordinate r. Further, it is never singular. Indeed, for $r \rightarrow 0$ the line element becomes

$$ds^2 = e^{-\pi\gamma}\left\{-dt^2 + e^{2\pi\gamma}\left[dr^2 + \left(N^2/\gamma^2\right)d\Omega^2\right]\right\}\,, \tag{14}$$

and the scalar field assumes its minimum value. The area of the two-sphere at $r = 0$ has a finite value different from zero. This means that the spacetime has a throat at $r = 0$. Note that the existence of the wormhole is made possible by the negative sign of σ. Indeed, in this case the scalar field has negative energy density. The spacetime (13) describes then a static traversable wormhole (see for instance [10]).

The quantities $\{N,\ I,\ p_\varphi,\ \Phi\}$ play a fundamental role. Let us write their Poisson algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} &[N,H]_P = 0\,, && [I,H]_P = 0\,, && [N,I]_P = I\,, \\ &[\Phi,H]_P = 0\,, && [p_\varphi,H]_P = 0\,, && [\Phi,p_\varphi]_P = 1\,, \\ &[\Phi,N]_P = 0\,, && [I,\Phi]_P = 0\,, && [p_\varphi,I]_P = 0\,, \\ &[N,p_\varphi]_P = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Thus N and $\ln I$, Φ and p_φ are canonically conjugate variables. Let us now introduce the new quantity $Y = (bp_b - ap_a)/H$, which has the following Poisson parentheses:

$$[Y,I] = [Y,N] = [Y,p_\varphi] = [Y,\Phi] = 0\,, \qquad [Y,H] = 1\,. \tag{16}$$

Thus a complete set of canonically conjugate variables are $\{N,\ P_N \equiv \ln I,\ \Phi,\ P_\Phi \equiv p_\varphi,\ Y,\ P_Y \equiv H\}$. Note that all variables, except Y, are gauge invariant and generate rigid transformations that leave invariant the Hamiltonian. This suggests obviously to use Y in order to fix the gauge (see later). Invariance under rigid transformations will be used to determine the form of the quantum measure. Performing the canonical transformation to the new variables the action becomes:

$$S = \int d\xi \{ \dot{N} P_N + \dot{\Phi} P_\Phi + \dot{Y} P_Y - l(P_Y - 1/2) \} \,. \tag{17}$$

In order to implement the Dirac procedure, the first main problem is the choice of the variables to be used for the wave functions and of the measure. The requirement of invariance of the measure under the rigid transformations selects for instance the measure $d[\mu] = dp_N \; dy \; d\phi$. (The eigenvalues of P_N, Y, and Φ have been indicated by lower case letters). Given the measure, we have the representation of the conjugate variables as differential operators:

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{P}_\Phi \to -i\partial_\phi \,, && \hat{P}_Y \to -i\partial_y \,, && \hat{N} \to i\partial_{p_N} \,, \\ &\hat{\Phi} \to \phi \,, && \hat{Y} \to y \,, && \hat{P}_N \to P_N \,. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The WDW equation becomes

$$(-i\partial_y - 1/2) \, \Psi(y, p_N, \phi) = 0 \,. \tag{19}$$

The solutions of (19) that are eigenfunctions of $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{P}_\Phi$ with eigenvalues ν and ω are

$$\Psi_{\nu,\omega} = C(\nu, \omega) \exp[-i\nu p_N + i\omega\phi + iy/2] \,. \tag{20}$$

Now in order to progress we have to introduce the gauge fixing via the FP method [5]. We will prove that there is a class of viable gauges for which there are no Gribov copies and the FP determinant Δ_{FP} is invariant under gauge transformations. Indeed, let us suppose that the gauge be enforced by $G(p_N, y, \phi) = 0$, and let G have the form

$$G(p_N, y, \phi) = F(p_N, y, \phi) \, \prod_i \big(y - g_i(p_N, \phi)\big) \,, \tag{21}$$

where $F\big(p_N, g_i(p_N, \phi), \phi\big) \neq 0$ and $g_i(p_N, \phi) \neq g_j(p_N, \phi)$ for any p_N and ϕ. Then we have

$$\Delta_{FP}^{-1} \; = \; \int dh \; \delta\big(G(h)\big) \; = \; \sum_i f_i^{-1}(p_N, \phi) \,, \tag{22}$$

where

$$f_i(p_N, \phi) = F\big(p_N, g_i(p_N, \phi), \phi\big) \prod_{j \neq i} \big(g_i(p_N, \phi) - g_j(p_N, \phi)\big) \,. \tag{23}$$

Note that since p_N and ϕ are gauge invariant, so is Δ_{FP}. The gauge fixed invariant measure is then

$$\int d[\mu] \; \delta\big(G(p_N, y, \phi)\big) \; \Delta_{FP} \; = \; \int dp_N \, dy \, d\phi \; \delta\big(G(p_N, y, \phi)\big) \; \Delta_{FP} \,. \tag{24}$$

In our case the most convenient gauge is $G(p_N, y, \phi) = y - \xi = (bp_b - ap_a)/H - \xi = 0$, where ξ is a number. This gauge fixing implies obviously $\Delta_{FP} = 1$ and determines uniquely the gauge. Now we may discuss the form of the wave functions in this gauge. Denoting by lower case greek letters the wave functions in the gauge fixed representation and choosing $C(\nu) = (2\pi)^{-1}$, the gauge fixed eigenfunctions of $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{P}_\Phi$ are

$$\psi_{\nu,\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp[-i\nu p_N + i\omega\phi + i\xi/2] \tag{25}$$

that are orthonormal. The eigenfunctions (25) coincide with those found for the Schwarzschild black hole in [4] apart from the plane wave in ϕ.

Let us now quantize the system by the alternative method of reducing first the phase space by a canonical identity [6]. Again the gauge fixing condition is $Y = \xi$. This determines the Lagrange multiplier as $l = 1$ since from the definition of Y and the classical general solution of the gauge equations it follows $Y = \tau + \text{constant}$. Using the constraint $H = 1/2$ and the gauge fixing condition, the effective Hamiltonian on the gauge shell becomes $H_{\text{eff}} = -P_Y = -1/2$. Thus the Schrödinger equation is

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} \; + \; \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi \; = \; 0 \, . \tag{26}$$

Diagonalizing $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{P}_\Phi$ we obtain the wave functions (25) which form a definite positive Hilbert space. This proves the equivalence of the Dirac and reduced quantization methods in the representation used.

Let us conclude by spending some words about the presence of cosmological constant. We can deduce the properties of the solution without solving the equations. The method we present here can also be applied, at least in principle, to more complicate cases as for instance when a simple potential term for the dilaton is present.

Let us consider the action (3). If $\Lambda > 0$, we can redefine the Lagrange multiplier as $l'(\xi) = l(\xi) f(b)$, where $f(b) = 1 + \Lambda b^2$. The Lagrangian becomes

$$L = \frac{f(b)}{l'} \left(2\dot{a}b\dot{b} + 2a\dot{b}^2 - 2\sigma ab^2\dot{\varphi}^2 \right) + \frac{l'}{2} \, . \tag{27}$$

Obviously the equations of motion from the Lagrangian (27) can be interpreted as the geodesic equations for the minisuperspace manifold $\tilde{M}$ with metric

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = f(b)[b \; dadb + a \; db^2 - \sigma ab^2 \; d\varphi^2] \, . \tag{28}$$

The solutions of the Einstein equations for the metric (2) with cosmological constant are the geodesics of the minisuperspace. Thus we can deduce the properties of the Einstein solutions from the study of the geodesics of $\tilde{M}$. We are interested in establishing which points in $\tilde{M}$ are singular. Indeed, no geodesic can cross a singular point of $\tilde{M}$ and so this will be an end point of the solutions of the Einstein equations for the metric (2). Note that the Einstein solutions can be singular also for regular points of $\tilde{M}$, since the metric of the minisuperspace is written in a given coordinate system that can be pathological at some point. Thus this method does not give in general all the curvature singularities of the space – time (2); however, if $\tilde{M}$ is singular, necessarily the space – time (2) must be singular.

For the line element (28) the Kretschmann scalar is $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 4(1 + 8\Lambda^2 b^4/f^2)/a^2 b^4 f^2$, so it is easy to see that there are no geodesics such that the Kretschmann scalar is finite for $a = 0$. This proves that $a = 0$ is a end point for all geodesics and that the space – times for $a > 0$ and $a < 0$ are separately complete.

We are very grateful to Fernando de Felice and Alexandre T. Filippov for interesting discussions and suggestions.

References.

[1] T. Wyman, *Phys. Rev.* **D24**, 839 (1981); O. Beckmann and O. Lechtenfeld, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 1473 (1995); G. Lavreshlashvili and D. Maison, *Nucl. Phys.* **B410**, 407 (1993); S.G. Turyshev, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **27**, 981 (1995); P.K. Silaev and S.G. Turyshev, preprint hep-th/9510118; H.D. Conradi, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 2423 (1995).

[2] A.S. Kompaneets and A.S. Chernov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. (J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (USSR))* **47**, 1939 (1964); R. Kantowski and R.K. Sachs, *J. Math. Phys.* **7**, 443 (1966).

[3] H. Buchdal, *Phys. Rev.* **115**, 1325 (1959); A.I. Janis, D.C. Robinson, and J. Winicour, *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 1729 (1969); J.D. Bekenstein, *Ann. Phys.* **82**, 535 (1974).

[4] M. Cavaglià, V. de Alfaro and A.T. Filippov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D4**, 661 (1995); M. Cavaglià, V. de Alfaro, and A.T. Filippov, *Quantization of the Schwarzschild Black Hole*, preprint DFTT 50/95, August 1995, gr-qc/9508-062.

[5] For a review of the quantization of gauge systems, see M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (Princeton, New Jersey, 1992).

[6] M. Cavaglià, V. de Alfaro, and A.T. Filippov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10**, 611 (1995).

[7] D. Garfinkle, G.T. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 3140 (1991); G.W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, *Nucl. Phys.* **B298**, 741 (1988).

[8] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975).

[9] K.S. Virbhadra, S. Jhingan and P.S. Joshi, preprint gr-qc/9512030.

[10] M.S. Morris and K.S. Thorne, *Am. J. Physics* **56**, 395 (1988).